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#### Abstract

Commercial samples of anhydrous milk fat (AMF), Ivory Coast cocoa butter (CB) and palm mid-fraction (PMF) were blended in a ternary system. The melting characteristics of the blends were studied by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Results suggest that in the studies of interaction involving more than two fats, partial area $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ under the melting peak should be converted to partial enthalpy $\left(\Delta H_{i}\right)$ rather than to solid fat index. The $\Delta H$ values of the blends decreased as the amount of AMF was increased and increased as the amount of CB was increased. In general, the effect of PMF was less pronounced compared to the effect of the other two fats. Eutectic effects within the ternary system could be detected by measuring the deviation of melting enthalpy by DSC, and from the corresponding values that were calculated for the thermodynamically ideal blends. The deviation reached a maximum when the amount of AMF was about $33 \%$. On the binary line of CB/PMF, the eutectic effect was maximum at about 50-75\% PMF. The interaction effect in the system was more noticeable at 30 and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at lower temperatures. Evaluation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was preferred because both the effect of AMF in the ternary system and the effect of PMF on the binary line were more readily observed.
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Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) melting thermograms of fats give valuable information on how they melt in the mouth during mastication. It is generally accepted that the partial area $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ under the melting peak (endotherm) is equivalent to the percentage of solid fat remaining at the selected temperature. Lambelet and Raemy (1) described computer programs to control sampling of data from the DSC and to plot iso-solid diagrams of fat blends in binary fat systems. Lambelet (2) pointed out, however, that DSC analyses would yield consistently higher solid fat index (SFI) values than nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). He also noted that the relationship between the SFI values determined by NMR and DSC was linear for cocoa butter (CB), but not for CB and milk fat mixtures. Buning-Pfaue and Bartsch (3) suggested that the measurement of differences in crystallization enthalpy between those measured by DSC, and those calculated for the thermodynamically ideal blends would indicate the effect of blending on crystallization. By using the calculation method, they clearly noted the compatibility of lauric fat with milk fat and the noncompatibility of lauric fat with CB in binary system. This method also allows the analysis to be completed in a short time because no tempering treatment is required. Nevertheless, it is well understood that the measurement should be done on the melting enthalpy when fats that possess complex polymorphic behavior, such as CB or its equivalent fat, are present. The objective of the present work was

[^0]to investigate the interaction that occurs in a ternary system involving anhydrous milk fat (AMF), CB and palm midfraction (PMF) by means of DSC.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Commercial AMF and Nigerian CB were used in this study. PMF was obtained from the Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia (PORIM). Fatty acid composition of each fat was determined as fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) by gas-liquid chromatography (Hewlett Packard 5880; Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA). FAMEs were injected at $249^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Supelco (Bellefonte, PA) Omegawax 320 capillary column ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d.), under the following conditions: 2 min isothermal at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for AMF, and at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for PMF and CB; programmed heating at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to a final temperature of $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; and 30 min holding time at the final temperature. Detection was with a flame-ionization detector at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The fats were blended in various ratios, shown later in Tables 2 and 3. Each blend was prepared in duplicate. The solidification and melting characteristics were studied by using a Perkin-Elmer DSC Model 4, equipped with a Perkin-Elmer 3600 data station (Norwalk, CT). Calibration was done with a gallium standard (m.p. $29.78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta \mathrm{Hf}$ $19.4 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g})(4)$. About 3 mg of a precisely weighed $( \pm 0.0005$ mg ) fat sample in the DSC pan was melted at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then was held for 90 min . The pan, with the samples, was then transferred to a $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubator and held for $40 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ or 7 d for stabilization. The stabilized samples were again cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and held for 90 min before being held at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min on the DSC head prior to measurement. DSC melting curves were recorded at a heating rate of $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ from $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) to a maximum temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. SFI values, at measured temperatures ( T ), were calculated with a Perkin-Elmer DSC-4 "Partial Area software program" by sequentially integrating the DSC melting curves and normalizing the values obtained $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ by the total surface englobed by the DSC curves (A) (1). Partial enthalpies were calculated by using the same method, except the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ to A ratios were multiplied by the total melting enthalpy of the samples.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SFI}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{i}}{\mathrm{~A}} \times 100  \tag{1}\\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{i}}{\mathrm{~A}} \times \Delta \mathrm{H} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Partial melting enthalpy $\left(\Delta H_{i}\right)$ for the thermodynamically ideal blends were calculated based on the reported method (3), which can be summarized as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iPMFCBAMF}(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y} z)}=\mathrm{x} \cdot \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{PMF}}+\mathrm{y} \cdot \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{CB}}+\mathrm{z} \cdot \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{AMF}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are the partial amounts of PMF, CB and AMF, respectively, present in the blends. Data obtained
from the measurements were analyzed by using the SAS package (SAS, Cary, NC) on an IBM mainframe, as reported previously (5).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the fatty acid compositions of AMF, CB and PMF used in this study. CB was characterized by the high palmitic ( $27 \%$ ), oleic ( $33 \%$ ) and stearic ( $36 \%$ ) acid content. PMF had higher palmitic acid content (56\%) than CB at the expense of lower stearic acid content, while AMF was characterized by the presence of short-chain fatty acids.

CB showed the highest melting enthalpy ( $35.4 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ ), followed by PMF ( $29.5 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ ) and AMF ( $17.4 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ ) (Fig. 1). Thus, different conversion factors would be required when converting $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value to SFI for fats of different types (Fig. 2). In addition, each blend may have specific interactive effects. For example, the CB/AMF (1:1) blend showed a closer relationship to AMF, whereas CB/PMF ( $1: 1$ ) blend was closer to CB. Results suggest that, in the studies of interaction between fats, it would be more ap-

TABLE 1
Fatty Acid Compositions (wt\%) of the Commercial Samples

| FAME | Cocoa <br> butter | Palm mid-fraction | Anhydrous <br> milk fat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C6:0 | - | - | 2.2 |
| C8:0 | - | - | 1.4 |
| C10:0 | - | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| C12:0 | - | 0.1 | 3.7 |
| C14:0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 11.5 |
| C16:0 | 27.1 | 6.7 | 29.8 |
| C18:0 | 36.1 | 32.4 | 12.0 |
| C18:1 | 32.8 | 3.7 | 24.9 |
| C18:2 | 2.6 | - | 3.1 |
| C18:3 | - | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| C20:0 | 0.9 | - |  |

${ }^{{ }^{a} \text { Average values determined in duplicate. FAME, fatty acid methyl }}$ esters.


FIG. 1. Melting thermogram of anhydrous milkfat (AMF), cocoa butter (CB) and palm mid-fraction (PMF).
propriate to convert the partial area $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ into partial enthalpy $\Delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, rather than into SFI. Because DSC measures energy, this would eliminate the calculated conversion error. This is especially important when more than two fats are present in a system and different interactions occur simultaneously.

Figure 3 shows the iso-line diagram of partial melting enthalpy ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{30}{ }^{\circ}$ ), required to bring the ternary fat blends from $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a complete melt, after 40 -h stabilization at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Detailed data on partial melting enthalpy of the blends are presented in Table 2. Results demonstrated that as the AMF content of the blends was increased from point $G$ to point $A$, the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$ value decreased from 20.4 to $2.4 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$. The increase of CB content increased the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$ value, as can be seen from point


FIG. 2. Relation between partial melting enthalpy and solid fat content of CB, AMF, PMF and blends. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.


FIG. 3. Iso-line diagram of partial melting enthalpy required to bring the blends from $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a complete melt ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{30}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) after $40-\mathrm{h}$ stabilization at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

TABLE 2
Melting Enthalpy ${ }^{a}$ of CB, AMF and PMF Blends as Measured by DSC, and Calculated Deviation Values for the Thermodynamically Ideal Blends (after $40-\mathrm{h}$ stabilization at $\left.26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{b}$

| Blending |  | Melting enthalpy ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ ) Partial value at |  |  |  | Deviation value ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ ) at |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | AMF/CB/PMF ratio | $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| A | 1:0:0 | 17.4 | 12.1 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| B | 3:1:0 | 21.0 | 17.0 | 3.4 | 2.4 | -0.9 | -0.7 | -7.4 | -5.1 |
| C | 1:1:0 | 23.2 | 19.5 | 6.6 | 5.0 | -3.2 | -3.1 | -11.3 | -7.7 |
| D | 1:3:0 | 30.7 | 28.1 | 21.1 | 13.5 | -0.2 | -0.7 | -3.8 | -4.3 |
| E | 0:1:0 | 35.4 | 34.3 | 31.9 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F | 0:3:1 | 35.4 | 34.0 | 30.6 | 21.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| G | 0:1:1 | 34.7 | 33.6 | 27.1 | 20.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| H | 0:1:3 | 32.8 | 31.5 | 26.5 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | -0.7 | -4.9 |
| I | 0:0:1 | 29.5 | 28.2 | 25.6 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| J | 1:0:3 | 26.3 | 23.5 | 7.7 | 3.5 | -0.2 | -0.7 | -12.5 | -10.0 |
| K | 1:0:1 | 23.2 | 19.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -10.5 | -6.3 |
| L | 3:0:1 | 21.3 | 16.7 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | -5.4 | -3.6 |
| M | 4:1:1 | 16.9 | 15.1 | 3.1 | 2.4 | -3.9 | -3.4 | -9.0 | -5.9 |
| N | 1:4:1 | 28.2 | 27.0 | 20.5 | 16.4 | -3.2 | -2.6 | -5.7 | -2.1 |
| P | 1:1:4 | 25.8 | 24.0 | 14.2 | 4.7 | -2.7 | -2.6 | -8.8 | -11.0 |
| Q | 1:1:1 | 24.1 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 2.9 | -3.3 | -4.9 | -15.4 | -11.3 |

${ }^{a}$ Average values determined in duplicate.
${ }^{b}$ CB, cocoa butter; AMF, anhydrous milk fat; PMF, palm mid-fraction; DSC, differential scanning calorimetry.
$\mathrm{K}(3.5 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g})$, to $\mathrm{N}(16.4 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g})$ and to $\mathrm{E}(22.9 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g})$. The increase of PMF content reduced the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$ value. However, as shown by the contour lines (Fig. 3), the effect of PMF was less pronounced compared to the effect of AMF and CB. Similar patterns of iso-partial enthalpy lines were found at other measured temperatures $(-15,10$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; figures not given) during the melting. A similar pattern was also found after the prolonged stabilization of the fat samples up to 7 d (Fig. 4), but with some increases of $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values. The increases were most noticeable at about point Q. As shown in Tables 2 and 3, the partial melting enthalpies at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of blend Q after


FIG. 4. Iso-line diagram of partial melting enthalpy required to bring the blends from $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a complete melt ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{30^{\circ}}{ }^{\circ}$ ) after 7 -d stabilization at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

40 h and after $7-\mathrm{d}$ stabilization were 2.9 and $8.8 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$, respectively.

Figures 3 and 4 do not clearly show the inflection of contour lines that occur because of the eutectic interaction between fats. However, with the Buning-Pfaue and Bartsch (3) method, the eutectic effect can readily be seen (Fig. 5). This is evident, based on the significant deviation of its measured melting enthalpy at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from the values calculated for their respective thermodynamically ideal blends. Data in Table 2 show that, after $40-\mathrm{h}$ stabilization, the deviations reached their maximum when the amount of AMF was about $33 \%$ (point Q; $-11.3 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ ), yielding curves close to the binary line of AMF/PMF (Fig. 5). Data also show mild eutectic interaction along the binary line of CB/PMF, as indicated by the deviation value at point $\mathrm{H}(-4.9 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g})$.

Prolonged stabilization (Fig. 6) reduced the eutectic effect in the CB/PMF binary system, as indicated by the decrease of the deviation value at point J from $10.0 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ (after 40-h stabilization) (Table 2) to $5.1 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ (after $7-\mathrm{d}$ stabilization) (Table 3). This, however, made the eutectic effect within the CBAMF binary system more prominent, as shown by the higher deviation values at point B (increased to $-6.6 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ ), point C (increased to $-8.6 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ ) and point D (increased to $-7.2 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ ). The present results are in agreement with those reported by Hogenbirk (6), which showed a decrease in solid fat content at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a blend of CB and PMF; and by Kheiri (7), which showed the limited compatibility between CB and milk fat.

Data in Tables 2 and 3 show that, in general, the interaction (deviation values, $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ ) was more noticeable (higher negative values) at 30 and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at lower temperatures. Evaluation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was generally preferred because more interactions were noticeable. For example, the incompatibility between PMF and CB (blend H after 46-h stabilization; blend F, G, H after 7-d stabilization) could only be readily observed at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TABLE 3
Melting Enthalpy ${ }^{a}$ of CB, AMF and PMF Blends as Measured by DSC, and Calculated Deviation Values for the Thermodynamically Ideal Blends (after 7-d stabilization at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{\boldsymbol{b}}$

| Blending |  | Melting enthalpy ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ ); partial value at |  |  |  | Deviation value ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ ) at |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | AMF/CB/PMF ratio | $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| A | 1:0:0 | 17.3 | 13.3 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| B | 3:1:0 | 20.2 | 14.9 | 3.5 | 2.6 | -1.6 | -3.7 | -7.6 | -6.6 |
| C | 1:1:0 | 25.2 | 21.4 | 9.2 | 6.8 | -1.3 | -2.6 | -9.1 | -8.6 |
| D | 1:3:0 | 30.4 | 27.6 | 21.9 | 14.4 | -0.6 | -1.7 | -3.6 | -7.2 |
| E | 0:1:0 | 35.5 | 34.6 | 32.7 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F | 0:3:1 | 35.5 | 34.5 | 31.3 | 22.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | -3.1 |
| G | 0:1:1 | 35.0 | 32.9 | 29.2 | 18.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | -4.1 |
| H | 0:1:3 | 33.1 | 32.4 | 28.2 | 15.9 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.8 | -4.5 |
| I | 0:0:1 | 31.6 | 28.7 | 25.7 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| J | 1:0:3 | 28.6 | 26.3 | 15.4 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 1.5 | -4.9 | $-5.1$ |
| K | 1:0:1 | 19.2 | 14.8 | 5.4 | 3.7 | -5.3 | -6.2 | -9.4 | -6.8 |
| L | 3:0:1 | 21.4 | 15.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 0.5 | -1.5 | -5.5 | -4.1 |
| M | 4:1:1 | 18.4 | 13.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 | -4.4 | -5.9 | -9.0 | -7.2 |
| N | 1:4:1 | 30.9 | 28.7 | 23.9 | 16.7 | -0.9 | -1.4 | -2.8 | -5.3 |
| P | 1:1:4 | 29.9 | 28.4 | 19.8 | 8.7 | 0.1 | 1.3 | -3.4 | -8.4 |
| Q | 1:1:1 | 26.7 | 24.2 | 12.6 | 8.8 | -1.4 | -1.3 | -8.2 | -7.4 |

${ }^{a}$ Average values determined in duplicate.
${ }^{b}$ Abbreviations as in Table 2.


FIG. 5. Iso-line diagram of the calculated enthalpy deviation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after $\mathbf{4 0 - h}$ stabilization at $\mathbf{2 6}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

The above results demonstrated that the physical interaction of PMF, CB and AMF in ternary blends can be monitored well by DSC from the changes in thermal characteristics, such as melting and partial melting enthalpy.
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FIG. 6. Iso-line diagram of the calculated enthalpy deviation at $\mathbf{S U}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{U}$, after $\mathbf{7 - d}$ stabilization at $\mathbf{2 6}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

## REFERENCES

1. Lambelet, P., and A. Raemy, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. $60: 845$ (1983).
2. Lambelet, P., Lebensm.-Wissens. Techn. 16:200 (1983).
3. Buning-Pfaue, H., and A. Bartsch, J. Therm. Anal. 35:671 (1989).
4. Manning, D.M., and P.S. Dimick, Proceedings Ann. Prod. Conf. of PMCA 37:28 (1983).
5. Md. Ali, A.R., M.S. Embong and C.H.Oh Flingoh, Elaeis 4:21 (1992).
6. Hogenbirk, G., The Manufacturing Confectioner 64:59 (1984).
7. Kheiri, M.S.A., PORIM Occasional Paper, No. 4 (1982).
[Received September 2, 1993; accepted November 1, 1993] ${ }^{7}$

[^0]:    *To whom correspondence should be addressed at Department of Food Science, 116 Borland Laboratory, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802.

